



UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

mV

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/952,001	11/07/97	CARR			R	P97194	1.024
Γ	PM90 /0601					EXAMINER		
	PM82/0601 WILLIAM A BIRDWELL & ASSOCIATES					PICKARD,A		
	900 SOUTH W	EST FIFTH	AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAP	ER NUMBER
	SUITE 1925 PORTLAND OR	97204				3626		22
						DATE MAILED):	
						06/01/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Applicant

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/952,001

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit
Alison Pickard 3

3626

Carr



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Therefo rejection allowan	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. bre, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final n under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ace; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in ance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) 🗌	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 🛚	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
exten appro set in	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate assign fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The opriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆 🔞	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗆	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b) 🗆	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c) 🗆	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
_	issues for appeal; and/or
(d) L	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	IOTE:
4. 🗆 <i>i</i>	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5. 🗆 I	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) Mequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments do not overcome the rejections set forth in the last office action (see paper #20).
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. 🛭 I	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
(Claim(s) allowed: <u>56-58 and 88-92</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>59, 63-65, 70-72, 79-81, and 86</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>55, 60-62, 66-69, 73-78, 82-85, and 87</u>
9. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on a has b has not been approved by the Examiner.
	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
_	Anthony Knight Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600